UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK ----- X VANESSA FEELEY, on behalf of herself and a class of similarly situated individuals, Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - against -20-CV-1770 (AMD) (PK) THE CITY OF NEW YORK: MICHAEL **RUBENS BLOOMBERG**, as Former Mayor; BILL de BLASIO, as Mayor; MARTHA H. **HIRST**, as Former Commissioner — Department of Citywide Administrative Services; **EDNA WELLS**: **HANDY**, as Former Commissioner — Department of Citywide Administrative Services; STACEY **CUMBERBATCH**, as Former Commissioner — Department of Citywide Administrative Services; LISETTE CAMILO, as Commissioner — Department of Citywide Administrative Services; NICHOLAS SCOPPETTA, as Former Commissioner — Fire Department City of New York; **SALVATORE CASSANO**, as Former Commissioner - Fire Department City of New York; **DANIEL A. NIGRO**, as Commissioner, Fire Department City of New York; MARK **ARONBERG**, as Assistant Commissioner, Fleet Services Division, Fire Department City of New York; ANDY DIAMOND, as Executive Director, Fleet Services Division, Fire Department City of New York; LOUIS MORBELLI, as Director, Fleet. Services Division, Fire Department City of New York; **HUGH MCALLISTER**, as Deputy Director, : Fleet Services Division, Fire Department City of New York and PATRICK MURPHY, as Supervisor, Fleet Services Division, Fire Department City of New York each sued individually and in their official capacities as employees of Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK,

ANN M. DONNELLY, United States District Judge:

Defendants.

On April 10, 2020, the plaintiff filed this action alleging discrimination based on her need to pump breast milk at work. (*See generally* ECF No. 1.) The plaintiff brings claims under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e *et seq.*; the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983; the New York State Human Rights Law ("NYSHRL"), N.Y. Exec. Law § 290 *et seq.*; and the New York City Human Rights Law ("NYCHRL"), N.Y.C. Admin. Code § 8-107 *et seq.* (*Id.* at 1-2.)

On August 21, 2022, the plaintiff moved for class certification. (ECF No. 80.) I referred the motion to the Honorable Peggy Kuo, who issued a comprehensive report and recommendation on March 24, 2023. (ECF No. 96.) Judge Kuo recommended that I deny the plaintiff's motion because she did not show commonality, typicality, or adequacy with respect to a proposed class. (*Id.* at 16-23.) No party has filed an objection to the report and recommendation; the time for doing so has passed.

A district court reviewing a report and recommendation "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). To accept a report and recommendation to which no timely objection has been made, "a district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record." *VOX Amplification Ltd. v. Meussdorffer*, 50 F. Supp. 3d 355, 369 (E.D.N.Y. 2014).

I have carefully reviewed Magistrate Judge Kuo's thorough and well-reasoned report and recommendation for clear error and find none. Accordingly, I adopt the report and recommendation in its entirety, and deny the plaintiff's motion for class certification.

SO ORDERED.

s/Ann M. Donnelly

ANN M. DONNELLY United States District Judge

Dated: Brooklyn, New York April 12, 2023